

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,678	07/14/2003	Yin-Shu Yang	YANG3146/EM	4122
23364	7590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
625 SLATER FOURTH FLO	<del>*</del> :		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3612	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	1
 $\simeq$	r

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/617,678	YANG, YIN-SHU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hilary Gutman	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ole(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)⊠ objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (F 10-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/617,678

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 1 as seen in Figures 1-2.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the curved pipe section at both ends of the straight tubular section of the anchors of the side rack rod of claim 3 and the sleeve being interconnected between a straight pipe section and a curved pipe section of claim 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 4. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,678 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

# Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 2, line 5, "selves" should be "shelves". On line 7, "therefore" should be deleted.

On line 12, "are" should be deleted.

On page 3, line 8, "for our examiner" should be deleted. On line 9, "we use" should be deleted". On line 10, "is used" should be inserted after "embodiment".

On page 5, line 9, "long" (second occurrence) should be "hole".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Objections

6. Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, on line 4, "each" should be inserted before "said fixed base". On line 5, "long" (second occurrence) should be "holes",

In claim 3, line 4, "two" should be "said pair of". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,678 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

In claim 1, lines 5-7, the limitation "a range of various sizes" is vague and indefinite as to

what this range might include and as to how the maximum and minimum distances between the

two holes is being measured.

In claim 2, line 2, the limitation that the straight pipe section is "interconnected" is vague

and indefinite since it is unclear to what the pipe section is connected. Perhaps additional

language should be added after "the phrase "interconnected".

In claim 3, line 4, the recitation that curved pipe section is "at both ends" of the straight

tubular section is misleading in that the anchor only apparently has a curved pipe section at one

end and engages the sleeve at the other end. Additionally, on lines 5-7, the limitation "a range of

various sizes" is vague and indefinite as to what this range might include and as to how the

maximum and minimum distances between the two holes is being measured.

In claim 4, lines 2-3, the recitation that eh sleeve is interconnected between the straight

pipe section and the curved pipe section of the anchor is misleading and unclear since it appears

that the sleeve is interconnected between two separate straight pipe sections (as seen in Figs 3-4)

and not between a straight pipe section and a curved pipe section as recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benedict.

Art Unit: 3612

Benedict (6,312,202) discloses a side rack rod 20 for motor vehicle, comprising: a straight tubular pipe section 22; a curved pipe section 24, 26, respectively disposed on both ends of the straight tubular pipe section; a fixed base 32, disposed under each curved pipe section; characterized in that each fixed base comprising a long groove hole 36 thereon, and the maximum and minimum distance between the two long groove holes is variable so as to fit a range of various sized truck beds.

With regard to claim 2, the straight pipe section is hollow inside and interconnected to each curved pipe section.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bundy in view of Benedict.

Bundy (5,904,458) discloses a side rack rod for motor vehicle, comprising: a pair of corresponding anchors 10, each having a straight tubular section 20; a curved pipe section 18 at one end of the straight tubular section; a fixed base 34, 70 at each curved pipe section, and a sleeve 30 disposed between the pair of anchors; wherein the fixed bases each have a hole 36, 68.

With regard to claim 4, the sleeve 30 is hollow and interconnected between the straight pipe sections of each of the anchors.

Bundy lacks the holes being long groove holes such that the maximum and minimum distances between the two long groove holes fitting a range of various sizes.

Benedict (6,312,202) teaches a side rack rod 20 for motor vehicle, comprising: a straight tubular pipe section 22; a curved pipe section 24, 26, respectively disposed on both ends of the straight tubular pipe section; a fixed base 32, disposed under each curved pipe section; characterized in that each fixed base comprising a long groove hole 36 thereon, and the maximum and minimum distance between the two long groove holes is variable so as to fit a range of various sized truck beds.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a long groove hole as taught by Benedict in place of the hole of Bundy in order to adjustably mount the side rack rod for various sized trucks.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
  U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 14. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the primary reason for the indication of allowable subject matter for this case is the inclusion of the specific straight pipe section having a length larger than that of the sleeve of claim 5, which is not found in the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,678

Art Unit: 3612

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

17. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 746-3515, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

3612

Page 7